# Effect of planting dates and soil water regimes on growth and yield of upland rice

## H.K. Rai and H.S. Kushwaha\*

Department of Soil Science, College of Agriculture, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar-263145, Uttarakhand, India

#### ABSTRACT

The response of rice (cv. Pant Dhan-4) to different planting dates and soil water regimes under upland conditions was studied in tarai region of Uttaranchal at the G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar . Delay in planting from15 June to 15 July decreased plant height (13%), leaf area index (10%), number of tillers (5%), number of days to panicle initiation (6 to 8 days), 50 per cent flowering (12 to 15 days), maturity (6 to 7 days) and grain yield (5 to 15%). Application of 7.5 cm irrigation water 3 days after disappearance from the plot reduced the number of tillers by 11 per cent and grain yield by 16 to 20 per cent over continuous submergence of  $5.0 \pm 2.5$  cm irrigation water. Crop maturity was delayed by 8 to 11 days due to change in soil water regimes from continuous submergence to rainfed conditions.

Key words: Planting dates, soil water regimes, upland rice, yield

Production of rice can be increased by scheduling the planting date according to weather conditions. Studies carried out by Hari Om *et al.* (1997) showed significant difference in grain yield of rice due to change in planting dates only. Efficient use of water can increase the rice production through scheduling of irrigation to maintain the optimum soil water regimes. Study made by Saikia and Dutta (1991) under lowland rice showed that under optimum soil water regime rice yield can be improved upon. Present investigation was made to study the main and interactive effects of planting dates and soil water regimes on growth and yield of upland rice.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiments were conducted during the wet seasons of 1997 and 1998 at Crop Research Centre of the Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar (Lat. 29<sup>o</sup> N, Long.79<sup>o</sup> 30' E and at an elevation of 243.84 m above mean sea level), having humid sub-tropical climate with an average annual rainfall of 1433.4 mm. The soil of the experimental field was Patherchatta sandy loam. The experiment was laidout in split-plot design with three replications. Three planting dates viz.15 June (D<sub>1</sub>), 01

July  $(D_2)$  and 15 July  $(D_2)$  were allotted to the main plots and four soil water regimes viz. rainfed  $(I_0)$ , continuous submergence to maintain  $5.0 \pm 2.5$  cm ponded water  $(I_1)$ , 7.5 cm irrigation water one day  $(I_2)$ and three days  $(I_{2})$  after disappearance of ponded water to the sub-plots. Rice cv. Pant Dhan-4 was transplanted at a spacing of 20 cm x 10 cm with two seedlings hill<sup>-1</sup>. During first ten days of rice transplanting,  $5.0 \pm 2.5$  cm to saturation water level was maintained in all the plots for better establishment of seedlings. To regulate the depth of submergence and to drainout the excess water, bricks of 7.5 cm height were fixed on the bunds towards the buffer channel side of each plot. The brick levels were adjusted carefully marking the upper limit of submergence. Irrigations were stopped 15 days before the harvest of the crop. Observations on growth parameters like plant height, number of shoots per hill and the leaf area index (LAI) were recorded at tillering, panicle initiation, 50 per cent flowering and physiological maturity stages. Number of days for different phenophases was recorded on five consecutive hills.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

It is evident from the data (Table 1) that plant height reduced significantly due to delay in planting from  $D_1$ 

to  $D_3$  treatment during both the years. This reduction may be due to decrease in photoperiod available at active growth phases. Results further indicated that soil water regimes also significantly affected the plant height at all the growth stages. Maximum plant height in  $I_1$ was 22.9 and 19.5 per cent more during 1997 and 25.3 and 18.9 per cent during 1998 than in  $I_0$  and  $I_3$ treatments, respectively at maturity. Similar trends in plant height variations under the influence of different soil water regimes have also been reported by Dongale and Chavan (1982). The interaction effect of planting dates and soil water regimes was found to be significant.

The effect of planting dates and soil water regimes on leaf area index (LAI) was also found to be significant (Table 1). Crop attained highest LAI (3.30) in D<sub>2</sub> treatment as compared to D<sub>1</sub> (3.29) and D<sub>3</sub> (3.26) at 50 per cent flowering stage. Leaf Area Index under I<sub>0</sub> was significantly lower than in I<sub>1</sub> and I<sub>2</sub> during both the years. Lal (1991) reported maximum LAI of 5.17 under continuous submergence compared with other treatments applying irrigations 2 or 3 days after disappearance of ponded water or rainfed plots. The Interaction effects of planting dates and soil water regimes on LAI proved to be significant only at 50 percent flowering stage during the years and a highest LAI (5.55) was observed in D<sub>1</sub>I<sub>2</sub> and lowest (3.95) in  $D_3I_0$  treatment combinations at this stage.

The data pertaining to number of shoots m<sup>-2</sup> at maturity stage (Table 1) showed a marked difference in  $D_3$  as compared with  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  and it was significant during both the years. This difference may be due to longer photoperiod and low minimum temperature experienced by the crop planted on 15 July (D<sub>3</sub>) as compared to  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  treatments which restricted the vegetative phase causing less tiller production. The results further revealed that the number of shoot m<sup>-2</sup> in  $I_0$  and  $I_3$  soil water regimes were less than in  $I_1$  and I, during both the years. Continuous submergence of  $5.0 \pm 2.5$  cm water (I<sub>1</sub>) produced maximum number of shoots m<sup>-2</sup> (281) compared to irrigation treatments. The difference in number of shoots m<sup>-2</sup> under I<sub>0</sub> and I<sub>3</sub> treatments was also significant. Significantly higher numbers of shoots m<sup>-2</sup> under continuous submergence as compared to 5 cm irrigation one and three days after disappearance of ponded water under low land rice have also been reported by Packiaraj and Venkataraman (1990).

The data on days taken to panicle initiation, 50 per cent flowering and physiological maturity of the rice crop as presented in Table 1 indicated that the number of days taken to panicle initiation were 69 in  $D_3$  which was significantly lower than 75 ( $D_1$ ) during

Treatment	1997						1998					
	Plant height (cm) at 50 % Fl.#	No. of shoots m <sup>-2</sup> at 50 % Fl.#	Leaf Area Index at 50 % Fl.#	PI* (days)	50% Fl.# (days)	Maturity (days)	Plant height (cm) at 50% Fl.#	No. of shoots m <sup>-2</sup> at 50% Fl.#	Leaf Area Index at 50% Fl.#	PI * (days)	50% Fl.# (days)	Maturity (days)
D <sub>1</sub>	94.0	260	3.29	74.6	94.8	130.6	91.6	262	3.49	75.1	94.9	129.8
D <sub>2</sub>	92.6	256	3.30	71.8	93.8	129.6	92.2	258	3.52	73.1	93.1	127.9
D <sub>3</sub>	78.3	245	3.26	69.0	79.7	127.3	79.3	247	3.42	67.7	80.7	122.5
CD (P = $0.05$ )	7.6	4.9	0.04	2.7	4.4	4.9	1.4	6.2	0.09	3.8	4.9	7.7
I <sub>0</sub>	76.3	215	2.30	75.4	93.6	133.3	74.7	219	3.17	77.1	93.7	132.8
$I_1$	99.0	281	3.81	68.9	86.8	125.1	99.7	281	3.78	67.6	86.9	122.3
$I_2$	98.1	275	3.75	69.7	87.4	128.1	95.7	277	3.71	69.0	83.3	123.6
I <sub>3</sub>	79.7	243	2.27	73.1	89.8	130.0	80.8	244	3.25	74.1	89.6	128.2
CD (P = $0.05$ )	3.3	4.4	0.08	2.7	4.4	4.9	1.9	7.9	0.09	3.8	4.9	7.7

Table 1. Effect of transplanting date and soil water regime on growth and development of upland rice

\* PI- Panicle Initiation stage # Fl- Flowering stage

Planting dates  $D_1 - 15$  June,  $D_2 - 01$  July,  $D_3 - 15$  July; Soil water regimes  $I_0$ - Rainfed,  $I_1$ - Continuous 5.0+ ponded water,  $I_2 - 7.5$  cm irrigation water 1 day after disappearance of ponded water,  $I_3 - 7.5$  cm irrigation water 3 day after disappearance of ponded water

1997. However, during 1998 it was significantly lower under  $D_3$  (68) compared to  $D_1$  (75) and  $D_2$  (73). Most probably it may be due to favourable thermal and photo periods available for  $D_1$  as compared to  $D_2$  and  $D_3$ . Similar trend in variation in respect of days to panicle initiation in lowland rice has also been reported by Gohain and Saikia (1996). Days taken to 50 per cent flowering in  $D_3$  were significantly less compared to  $D_1$ and D<sub>2</sub> during both the years (Table 1). This difference may be due to forced flowering caused by photo thermal conditions. Also days taken to maturity of crop was significantly reduced by 2 to 7 days with delay in planting from 15 June to 15 July and this reduction was significant. It may be due to availability of more photo thermal time to the crop in shorter duration. Days to panicle initiation were also found to be significantly higher (75.4 and 77.1) under  $I_0$  than those in  $I_1$  (68.9 and 67.6), I<sub>2</sub> (69.7 and 69.0) and I<sub>2</sub> (73.1 and 74.1) soil water regimes during 1997 and 1998, respectively. However, the difference between  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  was nonsignificant, may be due to limiting effect of water in  $I_{0}$ and I<sub>2</sub> treatments. Delay in panicle initiation by 3-6 days due to increase in soil moisture stress from field capacity to 75 per cent of available soil moisture was also reported by Sahu and Raut (1969). The results also showed that days taken to 50 per cent flowering were significantly higher (93.6 and 93.7) in  $I_0$  compared to  $I_1$ (86.8 and 86.9) and I<sub>2</sub> (87.4 and 87.3) during 1997 and 1998, respectively. The decrease in days to flowering with increase in water supply from rainfed to 5 cm soil saturation has also been reported by Naidu (1974). There was significant reductions in days to crop maturity from  $I_0$  (133.3 and 132.8) to  $I_1$ (125.1 and 122.3) and  $I_2$ (128.1 and 123.6) during 1997 and 1998, respectively. Early maturity in rice crop with increase in soil moisture levels as compared to rainfed treatments has also been observed by Singh (1985).

The data pertaining to yields and yield attributes in terms of number of panicles m<sup>-2</sup>, number of grains per panicle and 1000 grain weight are presented in Table 2. Results clearly indicated that the number of panicles m<sup>-2</sup> was reduced by 8.9 and 4.2 per cent due to delay in planting from 15 June to 15 July during 1997 and 1998, respectively, although these reductions were statistically non-significant. It may be due to less number of effective tillers caused by late planting of the crop. Gangwar and Sharma (1998) have also observed similar results. The number of panicles m<sup>-2</sup> were maximum (252 and 263) under I, followed by  $I_2$  (228 and 236) and lowest (191 and 200) in I<sub>0</sub> treatment during both the years. Number of grains per panicle in 15 July planting was significantly less than observed in 15 June and 1 July planted crop treatments, which in turn were at par. It might be due to greater accumulation of photosynthates in early planted crop. Gohain and Saikia (1996) have also reported reduction in number of grains per panicle in rice due to delay in planting from 20 July to 5 September. The highest number of grains (153 and 149) panicle<sup>-1</sup> of were obtained under continuous submergence (I<sub>1</sub>) during 1997 and 1998, respectively, where as, the lowest of 112 and 115 grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>were found in rainfed ( $I_0$ ) treatment. 1000-grain

Table 2. Effect of planting date and soil water regime on yield and yield attributes of rice

			1997		1998						
Treatment	No. of panicle m <sup>-2</sup>	No. of grain panicle <sup>-</sup>	1000-grain weight (g)	Grain yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	No. of panicle m <sup>-2</sup>	No. of grain panicle <sup>-</sup>	1000-grain weight (g)	Grain yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	
D <sub>1</sub>	222	142	27.2	4606	5597	227	133	27.3	3951	5629	
D <sub>2</sub>	221	143	26.9	4323	5991	243	135	27.5	4226	5671	
D <sub>3</sub>	212	115	25.2	3900	5215	218	121	26.0	3738	5044	
CD (P = 0.05)	NS	25.0	1.5	284	525	NS	4.9	NS	177	239	
I <sub>0</sub>	190	112	21.5	2667	6108	200	117	23.6	2595	5736	
$I_1$	253	153	27.7	4932	6111	263	149	28.1	4830	5590	
$I_2$	226	145	27.6	4718	5479	236	137	27.5	4604	5204	
I <sub>3</sub>	203	123	29.0	4121	4706	217	116	28.6	3858	5262	
CD (P = 0.05)	34.3	15.3	2.7	338	511	36	19.5	1.5	369	786	

Planting dates  $D_1 - 15$  June,  $D_2 - 01$  July,  $D_3 - 15$  July; Soil water regimes  $I_0$ - Rainfed,  $I_1$ - Continuous 5.0+ ponded water,  $I_2 - 7.5$  cm irrigation water 1 day after disappearance of ponded water,  $I_2 - 7.5$  cm irrigation water 3 day after disappearance of ponded water

weight (g) in  $D_1$  (27.2 and 23.3) were significantly greater than that obtained in  $D_3$  (25.16 and 26.0) during 1997 and 1998, respectively. It could be due to longer period available to allocate the photosynthates in the grains. Dhiman and his associates (1997) also observed 18.4 per cent reduction in 1000-grain weight of rice due to delay in planting from 25 June to 5 August. The highest 1000-grain weight was obtained in I<sub>2</sub> water regime and lowest in  $I_0$ . This might be due to less number of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> in  $I_3$  than in  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ treatments, and due to soil water stress causing limitation in translocation of food materials toward grains in  $I_0$ . The grain yield was 5.4 and 15.3 per cent higher in 15 June planting than in 15 July, which might be due to optimum period available for growth and development resulting in more storage of photosynthates in the grains in early planted rice. Similar results have also been reported by Babu (1987). The grain yields in  $I_1$  compared to  $I_3$  and  $I_0$  were 16.4 and 45.9 per cent higher during 1997 and 20.1 and 46.3 per cent higher during 1998, respectively. It might be due to water stress during different phenophases under  $I_3$  and  $I_0$  treatments. Saikia and Dutta (1991) have also reported 11.6 and 15.1 per cent higher grain yield in continuous submergence as compared to 7 cm irrigation 1 day and 3 days after disappearance of ponded water, respectively. The straw yield in  $D_1$  was 13.0 and 11.1 per cent higher than in D<sub>3</sub> during 1997 and 1998, respectively, for the same reason as in grain yield. Straw yield in  $I_1$  was 10.3 and 23.0 per cent higher than in  $I_2$ and  $I_3$  but was at par with  $I_0$  treatment. It might be due to maximum vegetative growth in I, treatment and poor translocation of food materials from vegetative parts to grains in I<sub>0</sub>.

## REFERENCE

Babu AM 1987. Effects of planting dates and variety on growth and yield of rice. *Oryza* 25 (3): 319-322

- Dhiman SD Nandal DP and Hari Om 1997. Performance of scented, dwarf rice (Oryza sativa) varieties under different time of transplanting Indian J Agron 42 (2): 253-255
- Dongale JH and Chavan AS 1982. Effect of moisture regimes on rice yields in Alfisols and Vertisols. *Int Rice Res Newsl* 7 (3): 18
- Gangwar KS and Sharma SK 1998. Effect of planting dates on productivity of scented rice (*Oryza sativa*) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) varieties in rice-wheat cropping system. Indian J Agron., 43 (1): 1-6
- Gohain T and Saiskia L 1996. Effect of date of transplanting on growth and yield of rainfed lowland rice (*Oryza sativa*) Indian J Agron 41 (3): 488-490
- Hari Om Katyal SK and Dhiman SD 1997. Effect of time of transplanting and rice (Oryza sativa) hybrids on growth and yield. Indian J Agron 42 (2): 261-264
- Lal B 1991 Effect of nitrogen and irrigation on water use and yield of rice. Thesis, M.Sc., G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar. pp80.
- Naidu CVR1974. Studies on the response of transplanted rice to different irrigation schedules. Thesis, M.Sc. G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar. pp90
- Packiaraj SP and Venkataraman NS 1990. Influences of irrigation regimes, organic amend- ments and source of phosphorus on low land rice (*Oryza sativa*). Indian J Agron 36 (1): 14 - 17
- Sahu BN and Raut SB 1969. Water requirement and response of low land rice to nitrogen and phosphate at different soil moisture regimes. Indian J Agron 34 (1): 35 – 39
- Saikia M and Dutta TC 1991. Response of rice to different irrigation on sandy loam soil of Assam. *Oryza* 28 (2):359-362.
- Singh R 1985. Irrigation studies in rice. *Thesis*, M.Sc. G.B.PUA&T, Pantnagar. pp100